

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/369,883	08/09/1999	JOEL CROUZET	038806.0448	7384
22852 7590 01/20/2004 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
			KETTER, JAMES S	
LLP 1300 I STREE	Γ. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005		1636		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				011104
			DATE MAILEI) :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

--See attached--

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/369,883	CROUZET ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James S. Ketter	1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
Period fo	• •	·	(2) =====				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	anuary 2001.					
7—	•—	action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-25 and 27-51</u> is/are pending						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-20,22-24,27-44 and 48-51</u> is/s	are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>7-9,25 and 45-47</u> is/are objected to.	La ski a a na maine an emb					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin-		I to but the Everyines				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 1999</u> is/are:						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	un priority under 35 H S C & 110	(a)-(d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachmei		 □	or (PTO 412) Paper No(e)				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

With respect to the restriction requirement mailed 17 November 2000, a review of said requirement, made by the previous Examiner of record, shows that there was considerable confusion by him regarding the different elements of the claimed or recited compositions. Claims clearly reading on the elected species were set forth as distinct, for no clear reason. After no art was found with respect to the elected species, the search was expanded to the other embodiments. All pending claims, and all species therein, are hereby **rejoined**, and an examination thereof on the merits follows.

Claims 7-9, 25 and 45-47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-6, 11-17, 23, 27, 29, 31, 35-37, 42-44 and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heidrun et al. (A, newly cited).

Heidrun et al. teaches, e.g., at the paragraph bridging columns 4-6, a "gene delivery system" which uses a lipid encapsulated DNA or cationic lipid/DNA complex (lines 3-4), and

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

then a delivery-enhancing agent including nonylphenoxypolyoxyethylene (line 38 of column 5) and polyoxyethylene ethers (line 40 of column 5). Said delivery-enhancing agent corresponds to the recited non-ionic surface-active agent. At the top of column 6, the concentration ranges of said agent are set forth as 1% to 50%. With respect to claim 29, since the recited feature of "chemically modified" is not more narrowly defined, it would be understood that any cutting or ligation of a DNA, e.g., a plasmid, would read on this limitation. With respect to the limitation that the sizes of the particles are less than about 160 nm, it is clear that this would inherently result from use of nonylphenoxypolyoxyethylene, which is an embodiment disclosed in the instant specification.

Claims 1-6, 10, 12-20, 22-24, 27, 29, 31, 32, 35-44, 48, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tam et al. (B, newly cited).

Tam et al. teaches, e.g., at column 7, lines 25-67, AAV vectors complexed with cationic lipids, e.g., DOTMA, LIPOFECTIN, LIPOFECTAMINE, and also a detergent solution, e.g., BRIJ (lines 58 and 59). The charge ratios employed are set forth at the top of column 8, ranging from 1 to 20, and the detergent concentrations set forth at the bottom of column 7. With respect to claim 29, since the recited feature of "chemically modified" is not more narrowly defined, it would be understood that any cutting or ligation of a DNA, e.g., a plasmid, would read on this limitation. At column 8, lines 14-38, it is taught to use non-cationic lipids in addition to the other components, e.g., DOPE (line 29). Also at column 8, lines 14-38, it is taught that cerebrosides and sphingomyelin may be employed. At column 7, line 45, the use of DOGS as the cationic lipid is taught.

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-6, 10, 12-20, 22-24, 27, 29, 31-44, 48, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wheeler et al. (C, newly cited).

Wheeler et al. teaches, e.g., at column 8, lines 18-34, cationic lipids for coating nucleic acids, e.g., LIPOFECTIN, DOTMA, LIPOFECTAMINE, and also a detergent solution, e.g., BRIJ (line 42). The charge ratios employed are set forth at the bottom of column 8, ranging from 1 to 20, and the detergent concentrations set forth in the preceding paragraph of column 8. With respect to claim 29, since the recited feature of "chemically modified" is not more narrowly defined, it would be understood that any cutting or ligation of a DNA, e.g., a plasmid, would read on this limitation. At column 9, first paragraph, it is taught to use non-cationic lipids in addition to the other components, e.g., DOPE (line 16). Also at column 9, first paragraph, it is taught that cerebrosides and sphingomyelin may be employed. At column 8, line 33, the use of DOGS as the cationic lipid is taught. At column 7, lines 34-41, use of RNA, particularly antisense, as the nucleic acid is taught. At column 12, second full paragraph, the use of targeting moieties, including antibodies, attached to the plasmid-lipid particles, is taught.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted directly to the Examiner by facsimile transmission at (703) 746-5155 (or to (571) 273-0770 after 1/13/2004). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(see 37 CFR ' 1.6(d)). To send the facsimile to the Art Unit instead, the Art Unit 1636 Fax number is (703) 305-7939. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax to this number, the Examiner must be notified promptly, to ensure matching of the faxed paper to the application file, and the original signed copy should be

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014 may be

used without notification of the Examiner, with such faxed papers being handled in the manner

of mailed responses. Applicant is encouraged to use the latter two fax numbers unless immediate

action by the Examiner is required, e.g., during discussions of claim language for allowable

subject matter. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the

processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter

whose telephone number is (703) 308-1169 (or (571) 272-0770 after 1/13/2004). The Examiner

normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Jsk

January 11, 2004

JAMES KETTER